



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CW
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,702	06/27/2003	Thomas S. Ellis	DP-309231	9673
7590	03/11/2004		EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DILINH P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,702	ELLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DiLinh Nguyen	2814	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/27/03, 11/20/03</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 15, the phrase: "...a weight average ratio of surface area of surface area of one of the faces of each particle to the thickness of each particle being at least 100 and at least 200..." contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2814

4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- Regarding claims 1 and 3, the phrase: "... a weight average ratio of surface area of surface area of one of the faces of each particle to the thickness of each particle being at least 100 and at least 200..." is unclear.

What 100 and 200 stand for?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-10, in so far as they are understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminaga et al. (U.S. Pat. 6257215) in view of Shin et al. (U.S. Pat. 6593404).

- Regarding claims 1, 3, 13 and 15, Kaminaga et al. disclose a semiconductor device (fig. 1A) comprising:
 - an electrical component 10 encapsulated, overmolded and/or underfill with a polymeric composite including a synthetic resin matrix 7 (column 3, line 67) and inorganic filler particles (column 6, lines 10-12) substantially uniformly distributed in the matrix.

Kaminaga et al. fail to disclose the particles having a platelet structure.

Shin et al. disclose a thermoplastic resin comprising inorganic fillers such as montmorillonite, wherein inorganic fillers such as montmorillonite (column 3, lines 55-60) obviously has a platelet structure defined by opposite substantially flat and substantially parallel faces, the distance between the faces defining a thickness of the particles. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kaminaga et al. to reduce the occurrence of stress cracking for the semiconductor package, as shown by Shin et al.

- Regarding claims 2 and 14, Kaminaga et al. disclose the electrical component is a substrate 2 having an electrical circuit formed on at least one surface of the substrate and at least one semiconductor chip 10 electrically connected to the electrical circuit.
- Regarding claims 4-5 and 16-17, it would have been obvious to have the inorganic filler content is 15 percent or less by weight based on the weight of the polymeric composite.
- Regarding claims 6-7 and 18-19, Shin et al. disclose the filler is montmorillonite (column 3, lines 55-60).
- Regarding claims 8-9, Kaminaga et al. disclose the matrix is an epoxy resin (column 3, lines 67 and column 6, line 10).
- Regarding claims 10-12 and 20, Shin et al. disclose the matrix is a thermoplastic resin is selected from polycarbonate and copolymer (abstract) and wherein the composite has a CTE from about 5 to 20 ppm/ $^{\circ}$ C.

Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminaga et al. (U.S. Pat. 6257215) in view of Shin et al. (U.S. Pat. 6593404) and further in view of Yu et al. (U.S. Pat. 5153657).

Kaminaga et al. and Shin et al. fail to disclose the inorganic filler is glass spheres.

Yu et al. disclose an inorganic filler is glass spheres (column 13, lines 45) and wherein an average diameter of from about 1 micrometer to about 3 micrometer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kaminaga et al. and Shin et al. to improve an efficiency and the reliability of the package, as shown by Yu et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1712. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,702
Art Unit: 2814

Page 6

DLN
March 8, 2004

Wael Fahmy
SAC 2814